1	NICHOLAS A. TRUTANICH United States Attorney District of Nevada Nevada Bar No. 13644		
2			
3	BRIANNA SMITH Assistant United States Attorney		
5	Nevada Bar No. 11795    501 Las Vegas Boulevard, South, Suite 1100		
6	Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 Telephone: 702-388-6336		
7	Email: Brianna.Smith@usdoj.gov		
8	Attorneys for the United States		
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA		
10			
11	Jane Schumacher,	Case No. 2:18-cv-00375-RFB-DJA	
12	Plaintiff,		
13	V.	Stipulation for Protective Order for	
14	Sonny Perdue, Secretary of the Department	Confidential Information	
15	of Agriculture; and DOES I thru X.		
16	Defendants.		
17	Plaintiff and Defendant, through their undersigned counsel, stipulate and request		
18	the entry of a protective order pursuant to 45 C.F.R. § 164.512(e)(1)(i) as stated herein.		
19	Without admission or waiver by any party, the general nature of this action is as		
20	follows: This action is an alleged employment discrimination case. Plaintiff alleges that		
21	she has received medical treatment as a result of the discrimination.		
22	The discovery to be requested and produced in this matter involve medical records		
23	that contain confidential information including, but not limited to, information protected		
24	by the Health Insurance Portability and Protection Act (HIPAA) of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104		
25	191, 110 Stat. 1936 (1996). Through this protective order, the parties seek to address the		
26	handling of such medical records that are likely to contain confidential information		
27	protected by the HIPAA. In order to permit the parties to discover and disclose to each		
28	other such confidential information as is relevant to this case, and pursuant to Rule 26 of		

7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14 15

16 17

18

19 20

21

23

22

24

26

25

27

28

the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and federal regulation 45 C.F.R. § 164.512(e)(1)(i), the parties stipulate to the following:

- 1. The United States is authorized to release to other parties in this case records and information otherwise protected by the Privacy Act without obtaining prior written consent of the individual(s) to whom such records pertain, provided that such information is reasonably related to this litigation. Nothing in the protective order is intended to limit or preclude in any way the United States' existing rights to access and review documents containing HIPAA protected information.
- Whenever counsel for any party believes that formal or informal discovery in this case, in whatever form, may reveal or has revealed medical and/or other records that are likely to contain confidential information including, but not limited to, records and information protected by HIPAA, the following procedures shall be followed:
- a. Counsel for the party producing records containing confidential information shall designate the information as subject to this order by letter and by marking documents containing such information with the notation "CONFIDENTIAL." Upon request from the other party, counsel for the producing party will promptly explain its basis for designating material as being subject to the protective order.
- b. The right of access to all records designated "CONFIDENTIAL" under this order (unless the parties have agreed otherwise or the Court enters a separate order permitting disclosure) shall be limited to the parties, counsel for the parties, consultants, and expert witnesses, as well as staff in their employ with a need for access in order for each party to prosecute or defend this action including, but not limited to, paralegals, legal assistants, and nurses, and any other persons mutually authorized by all counsel to examine such materials. Any person having access to information subject to this order shall be informed that it is confidential and subject to a protective (non-disclosure) order of the Court. Persons outside the employ of the parties or counsel, such as retained consultants and expert witnesses, shall sign an acknowledgment in accordance with paragraph 2(d) below.

- c. Except as provided herein, no person having access to records designated as subject to this order shall reveal to any person not named in paragraph 2(b) any information contained in such material without further order of the Court or stipulation of the parties.
- d. Each person outside the employ of a party or counsel, such as retained consultants and expert witnesses, to whom is disclosed records or information designated "CONFIDENTIAL" under this protective order, shall sign and date an acknowledgment, substantially in the form as that attached hereto, affirming that they have read and agree to be bound by the terms of this protective order, and the retaining counsel shall maintain a copy of such acknowledgement in his or her files.
- e. When a CONFIDENTIAL record is marked as an exhibit, or otherwise used during questioning at a deposition, counsel shall inform the court reporter of the CONFIDENTIAL designation, and the document and applicable portions of the deposition transcript shall be treated as CONFIDENTIAL in accordance with the terms of this order.
- f. CONFIDENTIAL records shall be filed, if at all, under seal, subject to and in accordance with, *Kamakana v. City and County of Honolulu*, 447 F.3d 1172 (9th Cir. 2006) and this Court's CM/ECF filing procedures for sealed materials.
- g. If a party disagrees with a designation of any records or information as CONFIDENTIAL, such party shall serve a written notice of objection and bases for objection within fifteen (15) days of the production of the designated CONFIDENTIAL records or information. The parties shall then have fifteen (15) days to confer in good faith efforts to resolve the dispute, and no motion concerning same shall be filed with the Court during this period of time to confer. If the parties are unable to resolve the dispute, the party asserting the CONFIDENTIAL designation shall file an appropriate motion with the Court within fifteen (15) days after the parties' failure to resolve the dispute. The failure to timely serve a written objection and bases, as set forth herein, shall constitute a waiver of the objection. The failure to timely file an appropriate motion with the Court, as set forth

1	herein, shall constitute a waiver of the designation. Until such time as any designation		
2	dispute is resolved by the parties or adjudicated by the Court, the parties shall treated		
3	designated records or information as CONFIDENTIAL in accordance with the terms of		
4	this order.		
5	h. Within forty-five (45) days of the conclusion of all trial and any		
6	appellate proceedings in this case, counsel for the receiving party shall either return to		
7	counsel for the producing party all documents and copies of documents designated as		
8	"CONFIDENTIAL" under this order or certify that such documents and copies have been		
9	destroyed.		
10	i. This order is without prejudice to the rights of any party to introduce		
11	or object to the introduction, into evidence at trial or during summary judgment		
12	proceedings any materials that are subject to this order.		
13	j. This order is without prejudice to the rights of any party to make any		
14	objections to discovery requests as permitted by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure,		
15	statute or other authority.		
16	Respectfully submitted this 4th day of November, 2019.		
17	REMPFER MOTT LUNDY, PLLC  NICHOLAS A. TRUTANICH United States Attorney		
18	<u>/s/Scott Lundy</u>   Scott E. Lundy, Esq.		
19	Nevada Bar No. 14235  Joseph N. Mott, Esq.  BRIANNA SMITH Assistant United States Attorney		
20	Nevada Bar No. 12455 10091 Park Run Dr., #200  Attorneys for Sonny Perdue		
21	Las Vegas, Nevada 89145-8868		
22	702-825-5303 Scott@rmllegal.com		
23	Joey@rmllegal.com  Attorney for Plaintiff		
24	IT IS SO ORDERED:		
25			
26	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE		
27	November 5, 2010		
28	DATED: November 5, 2019		